



**TREEFEST SCOTLAND
FEEDBACK SURVEY
2002**

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	5
SUMMARY	6
Treefest Scotland Feedback Survey 2002	7
APPENDIX 1: Questionnaire	17
APPENDIX 2: Results Tables	21

Forestry Commission - Statistics
Scott Smith & Vicky West

Economics & Statistics Unit
Forestry Commission
231 Corstorphine Road
Edinburgh
EH12 7AT

Tel: 0131 314 6218
Fax: 0131 316 4344

Email: statistics@forestry.gov.uk

Forest Enterprise Scotland
James McDougall

FC
Perth Conservancy
Perth
PH1 5AN

Tel: 01738 442830
Fax: 01738 441787

INTRODUCTION

Ask for intro from James McDougall

SUMMARY

This report provides details from a visitor survey that was completed at various Treefest Scotland 2002 events. A total of 197 questionnaires were returned, of which 193 were completed by interview at various events, and 4 were self-completed on-line.

54% of all visitors were female. Children accounted for the majority of visitors (46%), 41% were adults aged between 25 and 59 years, 8% were young adults and 7% were senior citizens. 94% of visitors came as part of a group and the average group size was 4.2 people per group. 55% of visiting groups contained children.

The majority of respondents visited from within the British Isles, with most of these people coming from Scotland. The majority of overseas respondents visited from Europe.

9% of visitors spent a night away from home either before or after the event, and the majority of these tourists opted to stay in self-catering accommodation (44%). A considerable proportion (28%) stayed in a B&B or guest house, and a further 11% favoured hotel accommodation. Other holidaymakers went camping or caravanning or stayed with friends and relatives.

Just under three fifths of respondents (59%) had travelled up to 15 miles to the event, 19% had travelled between 15 and 50 miles, with 2% travelling further than this.

The majority of visitors found out about the event through television, radio or newspaper advertising (26%), 20% gained their information from the Treefest 'What's On' leaflet, and 5% discovered the event through the Treefest poster. Other means of finding out about the event for people were various exhibitions, the Treefest website, school leaflets, hearing from friends or 'just passing by' an event.

6% of the respondents said that at least one of their party had a special need, the majority of which being mobility difficulties.

Two thirds of visitors had not been to a Treefest event prior to their visit, but the vast majority (80%) had visited woodland before.

63% of respondents rated the event they attended as 'very good', with a further 22% rating it as 'good'. No respondents rated any event to be poor.

82% of respondents indicated that they definitely would attend a similar event in the future, with an additional 9% replying that they might.

When asked to suggest improvements, the most popular response was to ensure that in the future events are better advertised. The need for more activities and improved facilities was also highlighted, along with requests for improved catering arrangements.

Treefest Scotland Feedback Survey 2002

A visitor survey was performed throughout Treefest Scotland events in 2002. In total, 197 forms were collected.

The majority of information collected (60%) came from the event 'Tree in the Park', with a further 38% of surveys being completed at other events throughout the festival. The remaining 2% of forms were completed on-line after visitors had returned from the festival.

Location of Events

Events were located throughout Scotland, but the majority of surveys (118) were collected from the 'Tree in the Park' event held in ???. The next most represented event in terms of survey return was 'Culbin Fungi Fora' held in the Culbin Forest in Moray with 8 survey returns. The 'Dragonfly Walk' held in Glen Affric in Inverness-shire, the 'Mini Bus Benn' from Clattering Shows in Galloway, and the 'Fungi Walk' held in Fochabers in Moray each resulted in a return of 6 surveys. Also highly represented with 5 returns each were the 'Dragonfly Watch' in Glen Affric in Inverness-shire and the 'Gravel Sprint' in Auchterawe in Fort Augustus in the Highlands. 'Wildlife & Law' was held at various events throughout South Lanarkshire and resulted in a total of 9 returns (Table 1).

Table 1: Location of Events in Terms of Survey Returns


EVENT	LOCATION	REGION	SURVEYS RETURNED
Tree in the Park			118
Culbin Fungi Fora	Culbin Forest	Moray	8
Dragonfly Walk	Glen Affric	Inverness-shire	6
Fungi Walk	Fochabers	Moray	6
Mini Bus Benn	Clattering Shows	Galloway	6
Dragonfly Watch	Glen Affric	Inverness-shire	5
Gravel Sprint	Auchterawe	Fort Augustus	5
Other	Various	Various	43
Total			197

Group Composition

There were a total of 830 visitors in the 197 groups that were involved in the survey. There were slightly more female visitors than male visitors (54% of all visitors were female).


A large proportion of the groups (36%) included 2 people, and 7% consisted of lone visitors. A further 17% each visited in groups of 3 or 4 respectively, and 9% visited in groups of 5. The remaining 15% of visitors came in larger groups, with the size increasing to 30 or more on 5 occasions. Excluding groups of more than 10, there were on average 3.4 people per group, whereas including all groups, there were on average 4.2 people per group (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Size of Groups Visiting Treefest Events



In terms of total visitors to Treefest events, children accounted for the majority of all visitors (46%), and 8% were young adults aged between 16 and 24 years. A substantial number of visitors were adults aged 25 –59 years (41%) and only 7% were senior citizens (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Age of Visitors to Treefest Events



Of the total number of those who visited a Treefest event, over 99% of them were of white origin.

Special needs


6% of all groups stated that at least one person in their company had a disability or special need. Just under half of these people (46%) had mobility problems and a further 15% had hearing difficulties. In addition, 31% stated that they had a disability other than one of those listed on the sheet, such as behavioural difficulties or Downs Syndrome, and the remaining replies were 'missing'.

Groups with Children

45% of groups who visited a Treefest event were without children. Of these groups, two-thirds consisted of two adults, 15% were lone visitors and 19% consisted of 3 or more adults (Figure 3).

The remaining 55% of groups contained children. Of these groups with children, 19% were with a lone adult, 52% were accompanied by 2 adults and 23% were accompanied by 3 or more adults. The remaining 6% were groups of children with no adult supervision.

Figure 3: The Number of Adults in Each Group With and Without Children



Origin of Visitors

Table 2 shows the origin of visitors to Treefest events, based on the home postcodes and town/country names supplied. The majority of visitors (77%) came from within Scotland, with over half of these coming from Strathclyde. 4% of visitors came from England and a further 3% visited from overseas from France, Germany, Holland and the United States. The remaining number of returns were 'missing', or as in a couple of instances, the answers were too ambiguous to determine the exact location of origin.

Table 2: Origin of Visitors to Treefest Events

Region	Number of Respondents
SCOTLAND	
Strathclyde	113
Highland	15
Grampian	14
Central	4
Lothian	4
Dumfries and Galloway	1
Tayside	1
TOTAL SCOTLAND	152
ENGLAND	
South East	5
North	1
West Midlands	1
TOTAL ENGLAND	7
OVERSEAS	5
MISSING	33
TOTAL	197

Visitor Profile

All of those interviewed were asked to provide their full home postcode. 44% of respondents gave a valid postcode.

Each of these postcodes is assigned an “ACORN” code. The ACORN classification is created by CACI Ltd to provide a demographic classification, combining geography with demographics- the places where people live and their underlying characteristics. ACORN classifies people living in Great Britain into any one of 6 categories, 17 groups or 54 types. Addresses in postcodes within the same “type” will therefore tend to have a lot in common and are given a label which easily describes a typical member of that “type”, e.g. “Wealthy suburbs, large detached houses” etc.

The table below shows the proportion of valid postcodes from the survey that fall into each group. This is then compared to the overall GB population using an index, to look for similarities and differences between the two. An index greater than 100 implies that more than the average number of people from that category are visiting Treefest events.

Table 3 shows that significantly more than the average number of groups classified as ‘Affluent Greys, Rural Communities’ (A2), ‘Affluent Executives, Family Areas’ (B4), ‘Well-off Workers, Family Areas’ (B5), and ‘Affluent Urbanities, Town and City Areas’ (C6) visited Treefest events compared to the composition of GB as a whole. There were also slightly more than the average number of people visiting from groups F13, F14 and F15 compared to the GB composition as a whole. In comparison to the composition of the

GB as a whole, the groups significantly under-represented in visitors to Treefest events were groups E12 and F17 (both with an index of zero), and (to a lesser degree) groups A3 and D10.


Table 3: Acorn coded postcodes

Acorn group	2002 GB Population Base	Survey	Index
A1 Wealthy Achievers, Suburbia	14.3%	12.8%	90
A2 Affluent Greys, Rural Communities	2.2%	8.1%	368
A3 Prosperous Pensioners, Retirement Areas	2.7%	1.2%	44
B4 Affluent Executives, Family Areas	4.5%	8.1%	180
B5 Well-off Workers, Family Areas	7.2%	15.1%	210
C6 Affluent Urbanites, Town & City Areas	2.6%	7.0%	269
C7 Prosperous Professionals, Metropolitan Areas	2.1%	1.2%	57
C8 Better-off Executives, Inner City Areas	4.1%	2.3%	56
D9 Comfortable Middle Agers, Mature Home Owning Areas	12.7%	10.5%	83
D10 Skilled Workers, Home Owning Areas	13.2%	2.3%	17
E11 New Home Owners, Mature Communities	8.1%	8.1%	100
E12 White Collar Workers, Better-off Multi-Ethnic Areas	4.1%	0%	0
F13 Older People, Less Prosperous Areas	3.3%	3.5%	106
F14 Council Estate residents, Better-off Homes	11.1%	15.1%	136
F15 Council Estate residents, High Unemployment	3.3%	3.5%	106
F16 Council Estate residents, Greatest Hardship	2.3%	1.2%	52
F17 People in Multi-Ethnic, Low Income Areas	2.2%	0%	0
U Unclassified	0.1%	0%	0

Type of Visitor

Over two-fifths of visitors to Treefest events lived locally (43%), and a further 15 % were day-trippers. In addition to this, 9% of visitors were holidaymakers, and information is unknown about the remaining proportion of visitors (Figure 4).


Figure 4: Type of visitor to Treefest Events



Type of accommodation

The 18 groups who stayed away from home were asked about the type of accommodation they were using. The most popular type of accommodation used was self-catering, which accounted for accommodating 44% of tourists. Staying in a B&B or guest-house accounted for 28% of tourists, whereas 11% each opted for hotel accommodation or staying with friends and relatives. The remaining 6% chose either camping or caravanning as a means of spending their night away from home. Note that the results given here are based on a very small sample (Figure 5).


Figure 5: Type of Accommodation Stayed In



Travel to the Forest Site

30% of visitors had travelled up to 5 miles to the event, and a further 29 % had travelled between 6 and 15 miles. 19% of visitors travelled between 16 and 50 miles with 2% travelling further than this. Of the surveys returned, 19% of data was 'missing' regarding this query (Figure 6).

Figure 6: Distance travelled to Treefest




Whilst there were 82% of visitors who had used a car or van to attend a Treefest event, 6% had arrived by minibus or coach, 3% came on foot, 2% used public transport and 1% had come by other means. The remaining 7% of returns were ‘missing’.

Finding out about the site

Just over a quarter of groups had found out about the Treefest event from newspaper, television or radio adverts (26%), while 21% found out about it by means of word of mouth. The Treefest ‘What’s On’ leaflet provided 20% of the groups with information about the event and 5% said they discovered the event thanks to a Treefest poster. 4% of visitors were ‘just passing by’ when they encountered the event, 2% found out about the event from the Treefest website and 1% found out from an exhibition or display. A further 15% had found out about the event by another method than was suggested on the questionnaire such as from relatives, school leaflets or by just turning up. The remaining 7% of returns were ‘missing’ (Figure 7).

Figure 7: How People Found Out About Treefest



Budget for visit

Respondents were asked whether they intended to spend any money in the local area around the event that they attended. 49 respondents, only a quarter, indicated that they did intend to spend money in the local area.

These 49 respondents were asked to estimate how much their party spent on food and beverages, fuel, other travel costs, car parking, retail/shopping, other activities and any other expenses (Table 4). ‘Missing’ values were substantial in this part of the survey, with the proportion of data that was ‘missing’ reaching as high as 71% on the question asked about other spending. It is possible that some of the ‘missing’ amounts were actually ‘nil’ amounts.

Table 4: Spend of Party Whilst at Treefest Event (figures represent percentage)

	Nil	£1-5	£6-10	£11-15	£16-20	£21-25	£26-30	£30+	Missing
Food/Beverage	4	29	29	6	4	0	2	6	20
Fuel	8	12	18	4	4	4	2	8	39
Other Travel Costs	27	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	67
Car Parking	31	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
Retail/Shopping	18	14	12	4	0	4	2	4	41
Other Activities	20	4	4	6	4	0	0	4	57
Other	20	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	71

These 49 respondents were also asked to approximate how much they spent on accommodation whilst in the area, and 14% replied that they spent nothing. In addition, 8% spent £11-£20, and a further 4% spent between £21 and £40. Furthermore, 8% spent between £41 and £80, and 2% of groups spent more than this. ‘Missing’ returns were again substantial, with 63% of the 49 respondents not providing data for this question. Note that not all of these respondents were staying overnight, and this could explain the high number of ‘missing’ returns.

Previous Visits


Respondents were asked if they had been to a Treefest event or other woodland event before. The majority had not (67%) whilst just over a quarter (26%) had. The remaining 7% of replies were ‘missing’.

In addition, respondents were asked if they had ever been to a woodland before. This time, the majority (80%) had previously visited woodland whereas 14% had not, with the remaining replies ‘missing’.

Rating of Events

Respondents were asked to rate the Treefest event that they attended. The majority of respondents felt that the event they attended was 'very good' (63%), and a further 22% rated it as 'good'. In addition, 7% of respondents felt the event they attended was 'ok' whereas 1% had no opinion on this matter. The remaining proportion of forms had 'missing' data on this enquiry (Figure 8).


Figure 8: Rating of Treefest Events



Future attendance

Groups were asked whether they would attend another Treefest Scotland event in the future, and over four-fifths said that they definitely would (82%). A further 9% replied that they would maybe consider attending a future event, and 1% said they would not attend a future event. 9% of respondents did not answer this question (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Future Attendance at Treefest Events



Improvements

Groups were asked to think of one thing, if any, that could be done to improve Trefest events in the future. 59% did not have any suggestions, and a further 13% indicated that they couldn't think of anything.

The most popular suggestion of the remaining 28% of groups was to improve advertising and publicity, which was suggested by 27% of these groups. 13% suggested more activities that ranged from ballparks for children to beer-tents for adults, and 7% suggested improving toilet facilities. 5% felt that more educational and learning opportunities would improve the event, and a further 5% requested healthier food with increased vegetarian options. Other suggestions included expanding the event, providing better car parking facilities, and one of the overseas visitors suggested guides should be able to speak foreign languages. On the other hand, 18% said that the event they attended needed no improvements describing them in some instances as 'very impressive' and 'well laid out'.



EVENTS FEEDBACK SURVEY

'Thankyou for attending a Treefest Scotland 2002 event. We would like to ask you a few questions about your trip to a Treefest event and how you enjoyed it'



1. Did you or will you spend the night away from home before or after the Treefest event?

Circle One

- Yes **1** *Answer Q1a*
 No **2** *Skip to Q2*

1a. If yes, what type of accommodation did you or will you use?

Circle one

- Bed & Breakfast/ Guest House **1**
 Hotel **2**
 Camping/ Caravan **3**
 With friends/ relatives **4**
 Youth Hostel **5**
 Self Catering **6**
 Other **7**

2. How far did you travel today to get to the Treefest event?

Circle one

- Up to 5 miles **1**
 6 - 15 miles **2**
 16 - 25 miles **3**
 26 - 50 miles **4**
 Over 50 miles **5**

3. What was the main type of transport you used to get to the Treefest event? *(The one used for the longest distance)*

Circle one

- Car/ van **1**
 Minibus/ coach **2**
 Public transport **3**
 Bicycle **4**
 On foot **5**
 Other **6**

4. How did you find out about the Treefest Event?

(if answer 2 or 6, ask Q4a, otherwise skip to Q5)

Circle One

- Word of mouth **1**
 Treefest 'What's on' leaflet **2**
 Treefest website **3**
 Treefest Poster **4**
 Exhibitions/ displays **5**
 Newspaper/ TV/ Radio advert **6**
 Just passing by **7**
 Other **8**

Please specify _____

4a. Can you say where you saw it? *(i.e. where picked up leaflet, which TV/ Radio programme or newspaper)*

5. Have you been to a Treefest event or other forest event before?*Circle One*

Yes	1
No	2

6. Have you ever visited a woodland before?*Circle One*

Yes	1
No	2

7. Overall, how would you rate the Treefest event you attended?*Circle One*

Very Good	1
Good	2
OK	3
Poor	4
Very Poor	5
No opinion	6

7a. if you rated the event poor or very poor, can you say why?

8. Can you think of one thing we could do to improve similar events in future?

9. Would you attend another Treefest Scotland 2002 or similar event in the future?*Circle One*

Yes, possibly	1
Yes, maybe	2
No	3

We are trying to estimate how much income has been generated in the local area as a result of your trip to a Treefest event. Your answer to the following 2 questions will help us to do this.

10. Did you/ will you spend any money in the local area before, during or after your time at the Treefest event? (e.g. petrol station, shop, exhibition/gallery, tea shop, pub, restaurant on the event day or accommodation)*Circle One*

Yes	1	<i>Skip to Q10a</i>
No	2	<i>Answer Q11</i>

That's all! Thank you very much for your time.

Group size - Please enter number of people in each category in each box – Don't ask!

Male		Female
<input type="text"/>	Senior Citizens (Age 60+)	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Other Adults (Age 25-59)	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Young Adults (Age 16-24)	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Children (Under 16)	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Predominantly White	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Asian	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	Afro-Caribbean	<input type="text"/>

That's all! Thank you very much for your time.

TREEFEST SCOTLAND 2002

	OTHER	PARK	Total
	No.	No.	No.
Total	79	118	197

	OTHER	PARK	Total
	No.	%	No.
Yes	17	22	1
No	56	71	67
Missing	6	8	50
Total	79	100	118

Only those who stayed away from home

	OTHER	PARK	Total
	No.	%	No.
B&B/Guest House	5	29	5
Hotel	1	6	1
Campng/Caravan	1	6	1
With friends/relatives	2	12	2
Self Catering	8	47	8
Total	17	100	18

	OTHER	PARK	Total
	No.	%	No.
Up to 5 miles	24	30	36
6-15 miles	25	32	33
16-25 miles	14	18	6
26-50 miles	10	13	7
Over 50 miles	3	4	1
Missing	3	4	35
Total	79	100	118

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

3. Main type of transport used to get to the treefest event	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Car/Van	64	81	97	82	161	82
Mini bus/coach	8	10	4	3	12	6
Public transport	1	1	2	2	3	2
On foot	2	3	4	3	6	3
Other	.	.	1	1	1	1
Missing	4	5	10	8	14	7
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff€

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

4. How did you find out about the treefest event?	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Word of Mouth	10	13	32	27	42	21
Treefest Whats on Leaflet	25	32	15	13	40	20
Treefest Website	2	3	1	1	3	2
Treefest Poster	5	6	5	4	10	5
Exhibitions/Displays	1	1	.	.	1	1
Newspaper/Tv/Radio Advert	21	27	30	25	51	26
Just Passing by	3	4	4	3	7	4
Other	11	14	18	15	29	15
Missing	1	1	13	11	14	7
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff€

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

5. Have you been to a Treefest event or other forest event before	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	24	30	27	23	51	26
No	52	66	80	68	132	67
Missing	3	4	11	9	14	7
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff€

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

6. Have you ever visited a woodland before	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	75	95	82	69	157	80
No	2	3	26	22	28	14
Missing	2	3	10	8	12	6
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff€

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area on:	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
FOOD/BEVERAGE	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
nothing	1	3	1	6	2	4
£1 - £5	10	31	4	24	14	29
£6 - £10	8	25	6	35	14	29
£11 - £15	3	9	3	6
£16 - £20	2	12	2	4
£25 - £30	1	3	1	2
over £30	2	6	1	6	3	6
Missing	7	22	3	18	10	20
Total	32	100	17	100	49	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area on: FUEL	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
nothing	2	6	2	12	4	8
£1 - £5	4	13	2	12	6	12
£6 - £10	4	13	5	29	9	18
£11 - £15	2	6	2	4
£16 - £20	2	6	2	4
£21 - £25	1	3	1	6	2	4
£25 - £30	1	6	1	2
over £30	3	9	1	6	4	8
Missing	14	44	5	29	19	39
Total	32	100	17	100	49	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spend while in area on: OTHER	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
TRAVEL COSTS	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
nothing	6	19	7	41	13	27
£1 - £5	1	6	1	2
£6 - £10	1	6	1	2
£11 - £15	1	3	1	2
Missing	25	78	8	47	33	67
Total	32	100	17	100	49	100

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area on:	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
PARKING	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
, nothing	1,	3,	.,	.,	1,	2,
, £1 - £5	6,	19,	8,	47,	14,	29,
, Missing	3,	9,	.,	.,	3,	6,
, Total	22,	69,	9,	53,	31,	63,
	32,	100,	17,	100,	49,	100,

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area on:	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
RETAIL/SHOPPING	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
, nothing	4,	13,	5,	29,	9,	18,
, £1 - £5	6,	19,	1,	6,	7,	14,
, £6 - £10	4,	13,	2,	12,	6,	12,
, £11 - £15	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, £21 - £25	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, £25 - £30	.,	.,	1,	6,	1,	2,
, over £30	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, Missing	12,	38,	8,	47,	20,	41,
, Total	32,	100,	17,	100,	49,	100,

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area on:	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
ACTIVITIES	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
, nothing	4,	13,	6,	35,	10,	20,
, £1 - £5	1,	3,	1,	6,	2,	4,
, £6 - £10	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, £11 - £15	3,	9,	.,	.,	3,	6,
, £16 - £20	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, over £30	2,	6,	.,	.,	2,	4,
, Missing	18,	56,	10,	59,	28,	57,
, Total	32,	100,	17,	100,	49,	100,

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

„ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff.....ffffffffffffffff†

10a. What your party spent while in area:	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
, nothing	5,	16,	5,	29,	10,	20,
, £1 - £5	1,	3,	.,	.,	1,	2,
, £6 - £10	1,	3,	.,	.,	1,	2,
, £11 - £15	1,	3,	.,	.,	1,	2,
, £16 - £20	1,	3,	.,	.,	1,	2,
, Missing	23,	72,	12,	71,	35,	71,
, Total	32,	100,	17,	100,	49,	100,

§ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffff<ffffffffE

	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
12. Do you have any disability or special needs						
Yes	7	9	5	4	12	6
No	59	75	88	75	147	75
Missing	13	16	25	21	38	19
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

(Only those with a special need - Includes multiple responses)

	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
12a. What type of special need is it						
Hearing	2	25	2	15
Mobility	3	38	3	60	6	46
Other	3	38	1	20	4	31
Missing	1	20	1	8
Total	8	100	5	100	13	100

Group size

	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Number in Group						
1	6	8	6	5	12	6
2	37	47	32	27	69	35
3	8	10	24	20	32	16
4	4	5	30	25	34	17
5	3	4	13	11	16	8
6 or more	21	27	13	11	34	17
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Number of Males						
0	9	11	18	15	27	14
1	36	46	52	44	88	45
2	12	15	29	25	41	21
3	5	6	12	10	17	9
4	3	4	5	4	8	4
5	3	4	3	2
6 or more	11	14	2	2	13	7
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Females	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	9	11	6	5	15
1	39	49	42	36	81	41
2	8	10	32	27	40	20
3	7	9	22	19	29	15
4	1	1	12	10	13	7
5	2	3	2	2	4	2
6 or more	13	16	2	2	15	8
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Senior Citizens (60+)	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	69	87	95	81	164
1	4	5	12	10	16	8
2	3	4	11	9	14	7
5	1	1	.	.	1	1
6 or more	2	3	.	.	2	1
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Adults (25-59)	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	11	14	20	17	31
1	13	16	21	18	34	17
2	39	49	66	56	105	53
3	5	6	6	5	11	6
4	4	5	3	3	7	4
5	3	4	.	.	3	2
6 or more	4	5	2	2	6	3
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Young Adults (16-24)	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	74	94	103	87	177
1	1	1	8	7	9	5
2	2	3	4	3	6	3
3	1	1	3	3	4	2
6 or more	1	1	.	.	1	1
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Female Children (under 16)	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	56	71	60	51	116
1	8	10	31	26	39	20
2	6	8	19	16	25	13
3	5	4	5	3
4	2	2	2	1
5	2	3	2	1
6 or more	7	9	1	1	8	4
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Predominantly White People	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	40	51	33	28	73
1	3	4	4	3	7	4
2	6	8	20	17	26	13
3	6	8	16	14	22	11
4	3	4	21	18	24	12
5	3	4	13	11	16	8
6 or more	18	23	11	9	29	15
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100

Number of Non White	OTHER		TREE IN THE PARK		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	0	79	100	116	98	195
1	2	2	2	1
Total	79	100	118	100	197	100