

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
25	Consultation response	Private Individual	1	Judge ruled against project	Court ruling was about process not outcome
26	Consultation response	Private Individual	2	General objection. Loss of green space	Refer to FC's response to issue 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
34	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	2	Response comment: parking spaces do not constitute quality open space	The addendum only makes reference to the provision of additional car parking not its environmental quality.
43	Consultation response	Private Individual	2	Represents loss of amenity	Refer to FC's response to issues 43 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
109	Consultation response	Private Individual	2	TWAG response (FC ref 6) TWAG letter giving statement that FP 60 is a Boat	The line of the public right of way is protected in the proposal. The decision as to its status is a matter for the rights of way authority, SCC.
110	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	2	TWAG letter to Sunderland City Council regarding the closure of footpath/byway at Coaley Lane	The line of the Prow is protected in the proposal. The decision as to its status is a matter for the rights of way authority, SCC.
5	Consultation response	Councillor Kath Rolph	3	Compensatory planting at Doxford would not compensate Newbottle residents due to the distance	Refer to the FC's response to issues 37 and 49 from the 2008 consultation exercise
8	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Not value for money	Refer to the FC's response to issue 101 from the 2008 consultation exercise
13	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Trees planted with public finance are now to be cut down	The EA process is not directly concerned with value for money or the original funding for the trees being removed. FC recognises that public money given to the scheme is only a contribution to total costs. The contract term including the provision of public access, was for a ten year period, which has now expired. Other trees will be planted at applicants expense.
14	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Objection, loss of community forest	Refer to FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
16	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Poor use of public money to plant and cut down trees	Refer to the FC's response to issue 13 in the 2009 consultation exercise
18	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Objection to loss of amenity	Refer to FC's response to issue 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
19	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Compensatory planting welcome but no compensation to local residents	Refer to the FC's response to issues 37 and 49 from the 2008 consultation exercise
20	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	General objection regarding the loss of the trees	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
22	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	General objection to the loss of trees	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
33	Consultation response	Counillor Sheila Ellis	3	Loss of quality open space for use freely by public	Refer to the FC's response to issue 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
34	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	General objection to loss of woodland	Refer to FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
42	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Proposal is misuse of public money as paid to plant and will be paid to cut trees down	Refer to the FC's response to issue 13 in the 2009 consultation exercise
45	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	General objection, destruction of wood against FC principles	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise and issue 82 from the 2009 consultation.
52	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Appalled by use of public money to cut down trees planted with public money	Refer to the FC's response to issue 11 in the 2009 consultation exercise
55	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Public funds used to plant trees and now public money used to cut them down	Refer to FC's response to issue 11 in the 2009 consultation exercise
62	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Site planted with public funds now to be cleared using public money	Refer to the FC's response to issue 13 in the 2009 consultation exercise
74	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Object to loss of public money used to plant the trees	Refer to the FC's response to issue 13 in the 2009 consultation exercise
80	Consultation response	Private Individual	3	Childrens trees destroyed resulting in loss of wildlife.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise and issue 13 in the 2009 consultation exercise
82	Cllr Colin Wakefield	Councillor Colin Wakefield	3	Contradicts FC in recent press encouraging to support people involved in their local woodlands. Visual impact. FC contradicting its mission statement.	Applications for EIA (Forestry) consent must be considered in accordance with the procedures set out in the EIA (Forestry) Regulations. The assessments are based on whether or not the predicted environmental impacts will be significant. It would not be appropriate for the Forestry Commission to use its EIA Relevant Authority role as a means to implement its own policies. Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from 2008 consultation exercise.
87	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	3	Proposal will increase travelling from current venues when there is already an excess on facilities	Refer to the FC's response to issues 97 and 101 from 2008 consultation exercise
100	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	3	TWAG Appendix AA Noise response to Addendum. TWAG submission on predicted noise impact (FC ref 13c &1a)	Following technical advice on this new noise assessment submitted by TWAG FC has concluded that the ES noise assessment is fit for purpose.
116	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Not acceptable to build on green space	Refer to the FC's response to issue 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
3	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	No need for more football pitches	Refer to the FC's response to issue 100 from 2008 consultation exercise.
14	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Suspect the land is to be used for housing development eventually. Strongly object.	Email received from SCC stating that the evidence given in the SHLAA review is that this site will not be considered for housing in this review period
17	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Suspect the land is to be used for housing development eventually. Strongly object.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
19	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Proposal made to make way for housing eventually.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
21	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Objection urging Governemnt to make a stand and decline housing proposals in favour of public views	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
27	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Contrary to Sunderland City Council's Unitary Development Plan EN15	Refer to the FC's response to issue 32 from the 2008 consultation exercise
28	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Housing consultation recently carried out implies that this proposal is merely an opportunity to change land use	Refer to FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
36	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	4	Reported over provision of football fields	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
47	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Football pitches could be built elsewhere	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
51	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	No need for more football pitches	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
53	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Unacceptable way to change land use to develop housing.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise.
56	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Unacceptable way to change land use to develop housing	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
63	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Smoke screen to change land use to develop housing.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
66	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Unacceptable way to change land use to develop housing	Refer to the FC's response to issuer 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
79	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	Change of land use for essentially a housing development.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 in the 2009 consultation exercise
90	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	4	Response 1/ note 1.1: scheme does not have planning permission. 1.2 features do not fall within permitted development. 1.3 There is no operable draining system. 1.4 records relating to flora and fauna available to the public have been disregarded. 1.5 transport data is wrong	The EIA process is considering environmental issues and does not directly relate to the planning application process. Refer to FC's response to issue 33 for flora and fauna. Transport issues are considered under the individual points raised within this table and public concern is taken forward to the decision-making process.
93	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	4	Response 2/ note 2.1 Pleased that clearance area has been reduced. 2.2 state that there has been no vandalism on the site which means that the community is in harmony with the woodland. 2.3 habitat threatened by several hundred tonnes of tarmac and concrete.	Refer to FC's response to issues 30 and 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
118	Consultation response	Private Individual	4	No need for pitches and disruption to families having to travel to central venue	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
119	Consultation response	Private Individual	5	General objection, detrimental to FC Mission Statement, waste of public money.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 101 from the 2008 consultation exercise and issue 82 from the 2009 consultation
29	Consultation response	Private Individual	5	Other sites are available for pitches	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
60	Consultation response	Private Individual	5	Already unused pitches not far away	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
72	Consultation response	Private Individual	5	Already unused pitches in Newbottle which could be upgraded	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 in the 2008 consultation exercise
77	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Pitches already in newbottle that could be used	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
1	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Objection to destruction of wildlife and birds, flora fauna and trees. Refutes area of low ecological value.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
4	Consultation response	Councillor Kath Rolph	6	Objection unchanged to letter dated 9 June 2008 which is that the removal would have an unacceptably detrimental impact on the local environment.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
15	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Loss of community forest	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from 2008 consultation exercise.
16	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	General objection, planted with public money, detrimental to the environment, loss of amenity.	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
20	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Loss of trees detrimental to local environment and planting at Doxford does not compensate Newbottle residents	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
21	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Object. Detrimental to environment.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
30	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	6	Pipistrelle bats along with another unidentified breed present in Newbottle's Coaley Lane and High Lane. Badgers and birds present.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
31	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	6	Development would constitute a loss of quality open space and over provision of pitches	Refer to the FC's response to issues 96 and 97 from the 2008 consultation exercise
32	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Loss of trees and public money to plant them	Refer to the FC's response to issue 29 from the 2008 consultation exercise and issue 13 from 2009 consultation exercise
32	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	6	Disturbance to bird habitat will be caused by development	Refer to FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
37	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	General objection to loss of woodland	Refer to FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
41	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Loss of woodland and habitats locally	All of the woodland is not being lost and access to remaining is preserved. Loss of habit was considered under issue 33 from the 2008 consultation.
42	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Mechanism used for measuring ecological value underestimates value	Refer to FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise Carried forward
44	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Represents loss of wildlife	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
48	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	General objection, loss of amenity, wildlife habitat, flora	Refer to the FC's response to issue 29 and 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
65	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	General objection, loss of amenity, wildlife habitat, flora, etc. FC responsible for making the right decision for 97% of Newbottle population who are against this.	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
85	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	6	Effect on landscape, flora and fauna	Refer to the FC's response to issues 6 and 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
89	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	General objection to tree felling	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
94	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	6	TWAG Appendix C response on flora and fauna concerns (FC ref 2a)	Refer to FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
95	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	6	Submission by TWAG of Durham bird club species by red and amber record for Newbottle	Refer to FC's response to issues 111 and 112 from the 2008 consultation exercise
96	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	6	TWAG list of bird species recorded at Newbottle (FC ref 2c)	These will be taken into account when assessing the potential impact on flora and fauna of the proposed project.
111	Consultation response	Natural England	6	Would like to see bat survey and affect on bat foraging.	Survey sent to and accepted by Natural England
113	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Object to felling and loss of trees	Refer to the FC's response to issue 29 from the 2008 consultation exercise
115	Consultation response	Private Individual	6	Trees are a haven for wildlife	Refer to the FC's response to issue 33 from the 2008 consultation exercise
39	Consultation response	Private Individual	8	GNF plan should be given higher value as it has community buy-in	Carried forward but refer to FC's response to issue 36 from the 2008 consultation exercise
35	Consultation response	Councillor Sheila Ellis	8	Residents are very upset at loss of wildlife and present haven of tranquility	Refer to the FC's response to issue 66 from the 2008 consultation exercise
49	Consultation response	Private Individual	8	Noise and loss of tranquility	Refer to the FC's response to issue 66 from the 2008 consultation exercise
69	Consultation response	Private Individual	8	Objects to traffic noise at weekends	Refer to the FC's response to issue 66 from the 2008 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
84	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	8	States there will be increased crowd noise	It is FC's view that the ES noise assessment is fit for purpose and this finds that there will be a slight adverse local impact as a result of crowd noise. As the facility will only be used for a few hours during the mornings at weekends this impact is not considered significant in terms of EIA impact thresholds. Also refer to the FC's response to issues 24 and 57 from the 2008 consultation exercise for technical issues.
91	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	8	TWAG Appendix AA Noise response to Addendum. TWAG submission on predicted noise impact (FC ref 1a)	Following technical advice on this new noise assessment submitted by TWAG FC has concluded that the ES noise assessment is fit for purpose.
92	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	8	TWAG submission on noise assessment (FC ref 1b)	Following technical advice on this new noise assessment submitted by TWAG, FC has concluded that the ES noise assessment is fit for purpose.
97	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	8	Letter from TWAG Appendix AA Noise response to Addendum omitted figures 1-14 (FC ref 3). Court ruling on Croft Motor Sport neighbour nuisance	FC sought technical advice from WYG Environmental on the new noise assessment submitted by TWAG. WYG found that no justification was provided in either of the TWAG reports to support any of the comments made in relation to road traffic and sport noise, including no reference to guidance documentation from where assumptions made within the report are provided. Therefore, it was considered that the objections presented by TWAG could not be substantiated. Croft Motor Sport ruling noted.
99	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	8	TWAG submission on Court ruling regarding Croft motor sport neighbour noise case	Refer to the FC's response to issue 97 from the 2009 consultation exercise
7	Kath Rolph	Private Individual	9	Details of bus route incorrect. 141 and 493 are no longer in service and have not been replaced. Availability of public transport much less than suggested. Details easily found on web therefore other content questionable.	The Environmental Statement does not claim that bus nos 141 and 493 stop at Newbottle but run nearby. The 35 and M1 do stop at Newbottle as stated. Question of diligence closed as FC have followed through many points raised in the statement with WGY and SCC.
10	Consultation response	Highways Agency	9	No additional comments to those made on 23 June 08.	Dealt with under 23/6/08 reponse
50	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Development will increase traffic	Refer to the FC's response to issue 119 from the 2008 consultation exercise
57	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Increased traffic will prove a real danger to residents. Concerned about access for emergency vehicles.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 119 from 2008 consultation exercise
59	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Increased traffic will cause parking cause nuisance.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 from the 2008 consultation exercise.

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
64	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Increased traffic will prove a real danger to residents. Worries for access for emergency vehicles	Refer to the FC's response to issue 119 from the 2008 consultation exercise
67	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Increased traffic a major problem	Refer to the FC's response to issue 119 from the 2008 consultation exercise
70	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Traffic parking will cause nuisance	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 from the 2008 consultation exercise
71	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	No appropriate buses	Refer to the FC's response to issue 7 in the 2009 consultation exercise
75	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Traffic noise will be a problem	Refer to the FC's response to issue 66 from the 2008 consultation exercise
76	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	Traffic parking will cause nuisance.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 14 from the 2008 consultation exercise
81	Consultation response	Private Individual	9	General objection in particular to increase of traffic	Refer to the FC's response to issue 119 from the 2008 consultation exercise
83	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	9	Increased traffic will prove a real danger to residents. Worries for access for emergency vehicles.	See 119 in previous consultation
88	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	9	Newbottle has poor public transport	Refer to the FC's response to issue 7 in the 2009 consultation exercise and issue 120 from the 2008 consultation.
98	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	9	TWAG Appendix AA Noise response to Addendum omitted figures 1-14 now submitted (FC ref 3a).	Following technical advice on this new noise assessment submitted by TWAG, FC has concluded that the ES noise assessment is fit for purpose.
106	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	9	Response 5: TWAG request for traffic survey information to be taken into consideration	Following technical advice FC has concluded that ES Transport and Traffic assessment are fit for purpose and the anticipated additional local traffic volumes will be within the carrying capacity of the local roads that will be affected.
107	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	9	TWAG response (FC ref 5a) TWAG traffic survey counts recorded at Staddon Way and Coaley Lane	Following technical advice FC has concluded that ES Transport and Traffic assessment are fit for purpose and the anticipated additional local traffic volumes will be within the carrying capacity of the local roads that will be affected.
108	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	9	TWAG response (FC ref 5b) TWAG Appendix DD submission on transport assessment	Following technical advice FC has concluded that ES Transport and Traffic assessments are fit for purpose and the anticipated additional local traffic volumes will be within the carrying capacity of the local roads that will be affected.
117	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	Proposal will have detrimental effect due to more noise and pollution and through increased visitor numbers	Refer to the FC's response to issues 46 and 66 from the 2008 consultation exercise

**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
119	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	Health and environment benefits of a traditional wood will be lost	Over 15 hectares of the 18 hectare woodland will be retained and the woodland is relatively new having been planted around 1996. Also refer to the FC's response to issue 77 from the 2008 consultation exercise
26	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	Clearance of trees will have a visual impact	Refer to the FC's response to issue 34 from the 2008 consultation exercise
54	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection, loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from 2008 consultation exercise.
55	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection on grounds of loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
58	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection, loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from 2008 consultation exercise
61	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection, loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
68	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection, loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
73	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	General objection, loss of amenity	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
78	Consultation response	Private Individual	10	Strong objection to loss of 'breathing space' and change of land use	Refer to the FC's response to issue 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise
101	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	10	TWAG response (FC ref 4) note 4.1 developer's consultant taken a narrow view of landscape and visual characteristics. 4.2 images presented by developer do not characterise style of buildings. 4.3 images of woodland enclosed. 4.4 no reports of anti-social behaviour in the woodland. 4.5 without protective fencing Newbottle will attract yob culture.	Landscape - see section 34 previous consultation. Plans and elevations of buildings were supplied with Environmental Statement in April 2008. The current woodland and agricultural land are not securely fenced and there is evidence of occasional anti-social behaviour such as motorbike use and littering. The proposal does not seek to exclude members of the public from walking across the site and this is consistent with the current public access arrangements at the site. Whilst there is a possibility of anti-social behaviour taking place on site in the future this is not a change from the current position. The only practical way of eliminating this possibility would be to erect a security fence and this would clearly have additional visual impacts as well as restricting desirable informal access by members of the public. FC considers the current proposal, which does not include a security fence, to be an acceptable solution.
102	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	10	TWAG response (FC ref 4a) TWAG views depicting area of woodland being removed, viewpoint 1, 2 & 5	These will be taken into account when assessing the visual impact of the proposed project.
103	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	10	TWAG response (FC ref 4b) TWAG views of buildings and fence, viewpoint 4 & 3	These will be taken into account when assessing the visual impact of the proposed project.



**Table 2 - Forestry Commission Responses to  
Newbottle Wood Environmental Impact Assessment  
Addendum Consultation Jan 2009**

Issue Number Jan 09 consultation	Source of Response	Organisation	ES Chapter	Objection/Opinion Specific Issue	FC Response
104	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	10	TWAG response (FC ref 4c) TWAG viewpoint 9 depicting parked cars	These will be taken into account when assessing the visual impact of the proposed project.
105	Consultation response	Trees and Wildlife Action Group	10	TWAG response (FC ref 4d) TWAG viewpoint 6 & 7 depicting farm land views	These will be taken into account when assessing the visual impact of the proposed project.
112	Consultation response	Natural England	10	The proposed development in its current form would result in loss of green infrastructure created as part of the GNF	Refer to the FC's response to issue 38 from the 2008 consultation exercise
120	Consultation response	Private Individual	11	Proposer should be encouraged to go back to original proposal and not destroy trees	Refer to the FC's response to issues 29 and 96 from the 2008 consultation exercise.
86	Consultation response	Councillor Colin Wakefield	13	Carbon uptake will be lost	FC accepts that there will be an initial loss of carbon but this is a young woodland and twice the area lost will be replanted resulting in a net gain in uptake in future years
87	Consultation response	Environment Agency	13	No additional comments to those made on 9 June 08.	Refer to the FC's response to issue 37 from the 2008 consultation exercise
40	Consultation response	Private Individual	13	Carbon uptake will be lost	FC accepts that there will be an initial loss of carbon but this is a young woodland and twice the area lost will be replanted resulting in a net gain in uptake in future years
114	Consultation response	Private Individual	13	Current trees contribute to CO <sup>2</sup> absorption	Refer to the FC's response to issue 37 from the 2008 consultation exercise