



Policy workshop item 9: Ranking the outcomes, developing criteria



Policy workshop item 9: Ranking the outcomes, developing criteria

Group 2

Positive trends in populations of open habitat species.
Reverse decline and substantially increase

Resilient ecological communities
Extent, quality and connectivity (cf. favourable conservation status under habitats directive

Financial viability. TEST
Resources required are met.

Desired trends in woodland biodiversity are not compromised.
Current spp. and habitat targets are not compromised.

Access and recreation.
No net loss.
New opportunities created.

Water quality and yield.
Not compromised (within catchment or aquifer).

Carbon balance.
No / minimal adverse change to C balance, e.g.: through mitigation.

Soil damage / erosion.

Keeping to Government commitments on woodland cover.
No net loss.

Timber sector confidence.
Accurate forecast.

Preservation of historic features.
Scheduled Ancient Monuments etc undamaged / enhanced.

Air and noise pollution.
No change.

Learning about landscape history.
No worse than now.

Commitments on native and/or ancient woodland.
Keepers of time targets.


Quality of life and landscape.
Per quality of life indicators and new landscape is valued.

Together

Positive engagement by local and other users.
Focus group +ve outcomes.

Policy workshop item 9: Ranking the outcomes, developing criteria



Group 3



Policy workshop item 9: Ranking the outcomes, developing criteria

Climate change

Group 4
National Decision Making: effort needed here (get this level right and rest will be OK).



Local decision making.

Policy must deliver 1.; 2 are necessary mechanisms to do this; 3 are things that must not be compromised in the process.

4 is the local process which is delivered by following best practice.

5 are secondary benefits that should be delivered at relevant scales alongside 1.

5. Secondary benefits.

Criterion: No significant detracton / deterioration on these at relevant scale.


Learning about landscape history.
Local

- Carbon balance.
National
- Water quality and yield.
Local National
- Air and noise pollution.
Local
- Preservation of historic features.
Local

Policy workshop item 9: Ranking the outcomes, developing criteria

Group 5

- Existing resilience (high or low?).
- Patch size?
- Linkage and connectivity
- High diversity of micro-habitats?
- (for grazing sustainability and priority species)
- Biodiversity benefits?



- Preservation of historic features.
- Water quality and yield.
- Air and noise pollution.
- Learning about landscape history.

Site based design / implementation issues (i.e.: not primary criteria).

Also scale and pace inter-relationship,