

## Challenge Fund for the Management of Woodlands In and Around Towns Scoring Sheet

*Note: Please read the WIAT Challenge Fund Scoring Guidance Note before completing this form.*

Scheme Name	SFGS Reference
-------------	----------------

### Eligibility

*(Yes / No)*

Is half the woodland within 1km of a settlement of 2000 people as defined by FC Constraints Check?	
Is the woodland greater than 1ha in area?	
Is the scheme area at least 40% woodland?	
Have stakeholders been involved or are plans to involve stakeholders evident?	
Have opportunities for public access and recreation been included?	
Is the scheme underpinned by a long-term management plan, or is this a proposed outcome of the funding?	
Are all operations related to the WIAT CF top-up funding related to SFGS Stewardship operations?	

*Note: If any of the above questions are answered with 'NO' then the scheme is **not eligible**.*

Completed by (WO) (print).....

Signed.....Date.....

### Judging criteria

How well will the proposal fulfil the aims of the challenge as set out in the challenge leaflet? Score the proposal from 0 to 10 where a better proposal scores the higher value. Examples of issues to be considered are given in the **WIAT Challenge Fund Scoring Guidance Note** which should be read before completing the scoring. Preference will be given to schemes where the value for money is well demonstrated and arguments are logically and rationally presented. Value judgments in proposals should be supported by evidence.

### General

#### **1. Has a holistic approach to the management of the woodland under ownership been demonstrated?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

--

#### **2. Do threats to the woodland exist and have they been identified and mitigated against?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

--

## Social

### **3. To what extent is the woodland integrated within an urban area?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

### **4. To what extent does the proposal improve opportunities for access, recreation, health and fitness?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

### **5. At what level do the proposals involve the local community?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

### **6. To what extent does the proposal encourage people to learn about woodlands and their management?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

### **7. To what extent do the proposals benefit socially excluded communities?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

### **8. What evidence of stakeholder support do the proposals demonstrate?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

**Environmental**

**9. To what extent does the proposal protect and improve the biodiversity of the woodland?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

**10. To what extent does the proposal improve the landscape, cultural heritage or local distinctiveness of the site?**

0                      2                      4                      6                      8                      10

**Value For Money**

**11. To what extent does the proposal appear cost effective?**

0                      4                      8                      12                      16                      20

**12. To what extent does the proposal provide value for public money?**

0                      4                      8                      12                      16                      20

**13. To what extent are the proposals supported by partnership funding?**

0                      4                      8                      12                      16                      20

**Woodland Officer Pen Picture**

Signed .....

Woodland Officer

Date ...../...../.....

**Judge's Notes**

**Ranking of proposals**

<i>(To be completed by Woodland Officer)</i>	
Total cost of project (£)	£
Total grant payable under SFGS ( <b>including</b> WIAT)	£
Total eligible match funding from parties <b>other</b> than FCS	£
% FCS contribution to the project through SFGS (including WIAT.)	%

Total Score <i>(To be completed by Judge.)</i>	
--	--