

Woodlands In and Around Towns and Forestry For People Challenge Fund – Scoring Sheet

Project / Woodland Name _____
Applicant _____
Nearest Town _____
Local Authority _____

CF Type (please tick) WIAT _____ F4P Application _____ Combined _____

Project Summary (Please describe the project and the desired outcomes – WO to complete)

Specialist Advisor's comments

Judging criteria

Please score the proposal where a better proposal scores the higher value. Examples of issues to be considered are given in the **Challenge Fund Scoring Guidance Note** which should be read before completing the scoring. Preference will be given to schemes where the value for money is well demonstrated and arguments are logically and rationally presented. Value judgments in proposals should be supported by evidence.

WO's - Please answer the following questions objectively. Complete question 1 - 6 and 14 – 17 where this is a WIAT Only application, Question 7 -13 and 14 – 17 where this is F4P only and all questions where this is a combined application. These answers will help inform the judges of the application and aid the scoring.

Woodlands in and around Towns (WIAT)

1. Has a holistic approach to the management of the woodland under ownership been demonstrated?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. Do threats to the woodland exist and have they been identified and mitigated against?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. To what extent does the proposal fit with the outcomes and objectives of the Scottish Forestry Strategy?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. To what extent is the woodland integrated within the urban area?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

5. To what extent does the proposal protect and improve the biodiversity of the woodland?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

6. What evidence is there that public/community consultation has been undertaken?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Forestry for People

7. To what extent does the proposal fit with the outcomes and objectives of the Scottish Forestry Strategy?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

8. To what extent does the proposal provide opportunities for people to get involved in learning activities in the woodland?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

9. Do the proposals involve people living locally and/or local community groups?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

10. To what extent does the proposal improve opportunities for woodland recreation?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

11. Does the proposal provide opportunities for health improvement in woodlands?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

12. To what extent do the proposals benefit socially excluded groups?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

13. What evidence is there that public/community consultation has been undertaken?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

--

Woodland in and around Towns and Forestry for People

14. To what extent do the costs appear realistic?

0 4 8 12 16 20

--

15. To what extent does the proposal provide value for public money?

0 4 8 12 16 20

--

16. To what extent does partnership funding support the proposals? Woodland Officer to tick appropriate box based on the intervention rate being sought by the applicant?

Score 5	10	15
FCS contribution > 70% of total project costs	FCS contribution between 45 and 70% of total project costs	FCS contribution < 45% of total project costs

17. To what extent are the proposals likely to benefit people from socially deprived communities? Woodland Officer to tick appropriate box (if proposals cover more than one SIMD class then selection should be based on the predominant class on an area basis)

Score 2	4	6	8	10
SIMD 20 - 100%	SIMD 15 – 20%	SIMD 10 – 15%	SIMD 5 – 10%	SIMD 0 – 5%

An explanation of SIMD can be found at <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/SIMD>

Ranking of proposals

<i>(To be completed by Woodland Officer)</i>	
Total cost of project (£)	£
Total grant applied for	£
Total eligible match funding from parties other than FCS	£
% FCS contribution to the project	%

Total Score <i>(To be completed by Judge.)</i>	
---	--

Woodland Officer.....

Date/...../.....

Judge's Notes